Case 1:21-cv-01691-DAD-BAM Document 19 Filed 03/11/22 Page 1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5	ERIKA L. RASCON#309178 MEDEROS ORMONDE & RASCON Attorneys at Law 791 North Cherry Avenue Post Office Box 29 Tulare, California 93275 (559) 686-3861 (559) 686-1514 (facsimile) Attorneys for Defendant SSSC, Inc.
6 7	Autoricys for Defendant 355C, file.
8	UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	(Fresno Division)
11	JOSE TRUJILLO,) Case No. 1:21-CV-01691-DAD-BAM
12	Plaintiff,) v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
13) FOR DEFENDANT SSSC, INC. GLADYS VIRGINIA BARBOZA dba) TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
14 15	MARISCOS EL CAMARON BORRACHO;) COMPLAINT; ANGEL BARBOZA dba MARISCOS EL) ORDER CAMARON BORRACHO; SSSC, INC.,)
16	Defendants.
17	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their
19	respective attorneys that Defendant SSSC, INC. may have additional time within which to
20	answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. Therefore, the last day for Defendant
21	SSSC, INC. to answer to otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is April 11, 2022. This
22	extension of time is SSSC, INC.'s second extension and does not alter the date of any event or
23	any deadline.
24	Counsel for Plaintiff has authorized Defendant SSSC, INC.'s counsel to electronically
25	sign on her firm's behalf.
26	This document is being electronically filed through the Court's ECF System. In this

regard, counsel for Defendant SSSC, INC. hereby attests that (1) the content of this document is

acceptable to all persons required to sign the document; (2) Plaintiff's counsel has concurred

26

27

28

Case 1:21-cv-01691-DAD-BAM Document 19 Filed 03/11/22 Page 2 of 2

1	with the filing of this document; and (3) a record supporting this concurrence is available for
2	inspection or production if so ordered.
3	
4	Respectfully submitted,
5	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.
6	
7	Dated: March 9, 2022 By <u>/s/ Tanya E. Moore</u> as authorized on 3/9/2022
8	TANYA E. MOORE Attorneys for
9	Plaintiff JOSE TRUJILLO
10	MEDEROS ORMONDE & RASCON
11	
12	Dated: March 9, 2022 By <u>/s/ Erika L. Rascon</u> ERIKA L. RASCON
13	Attorneys for
14	Defendant SSSC, INC.
15	
16	<u>ORDER</u>
17	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for cause shown, IT IS HEREBY
18	ORDERED, that Defendant shall have an extension of time, to and include April 11, 2022, in
19	which to file a response to Plaintiff's Complaint. IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	
21 22	Dated: March 11, 2022 /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
28	

Stip for Extension of Time to Respond; Order